


Endoscopic Spine Learning Curve

Scientific Update



There is a learning curve for endoscopic spine procedures. However, it is not as significant as is often discussed. Studies have shown that mastering the learning curve can occur in as few as 15 cases.^{1,2} It can be decreased further through a clear education pathway and proper patient selection, as not every patient or procedure is a good candidate for endoscopic surgery.³

Hasan S,
Härtl R,
Hofstetter CP

[The benefit zone of full-endoscopic spine surgery.](#) *J Spine Surg.* 2019;5(Suppl 1):S41-S56. doi:10.21037/jss.2019.04.19

- Full-endoscopic spine surgery employs surgical principles that aim to decrease muscle crush injuries during prolonged retraction, avoid significant soft-tissue stripping, and minimize bony resection. These principles also help prevent iatrogenic instability and lead to decreased postoperative pain and disability.
- To determine whether a particular patient will benefit from endoscopic spine surgery, it is best to estimate the reduction of invasiveness compared to a traditional open surgery but ensuring the same surgical goals can be achieved
- Endoscopic discectomy can offer a faster recovery with a highly favorable risk profile but has overall long-term outcomes similar to that of open techniques. Additionally, surgeons may not tolerate the learning curve of a new procedure that yields marginal perceived benefits despite significant decreases in overall complication rates, dural tears, and infections.

Takeaways

As compared to other approaches, endoscopic spine surgery tends to have:

- Less need for postoperative pain medication
- Quicker discharge and return to work
- Fewer complications
- Similar long-term outcomes and slightly better long-term visual analog scale (VAS) scores for leg pain

[Navigating the learning curve of spinal endoscopy as an established traditionally trained spine surgeon.](#) *J Spine Surg.* 2020;6(Suppl 1):S197-S207. doi:10.21037/jss.2019.10.03

- Learning curve study consisting of 40 patients, with 20 treated via traditional laminectomy and 20 treated via spinal endoscopy
- Mean follow-up of 38.58 months
- Pre-op VAS score of 7.95 and post-op VAS score at final follow-up of 4.01 across all patients
- Outcomes of endoscopic learning curve group improved significantly after 15 cases
- In retrospectively reviewing case log, second author noted a significant reduction in post-op narcotics use

Takeaway

Spinal endoscopy showed equivalent patient outcomes as traditional techniques during the initial endoscopic learning curve and may improve with further experience.

[Complications and management of endoscopic spinal surgery.](#) *Neurospine.* 2023;20(1):56-77. doi:10.14245/ns.2346226.113

- Reviewed 103 articles related to endoscopic spinal surgery complications
- The most common complications related to endoscopic spinal surgery include dural tears, perioperative hematoma, transient dysesthesia, nerve root injury, and recurrence
- The overall incidence of clinically symptomatic complications is below 10%
 - One study reported a total complication rate of 4.7% and reoperation rate of 1.1% in cervical endoscopic surgery
 - One study reported the most common complications in thoracic endoscopic surgery were dural tear (2%) and transient paresthesia (2%). This study also reported a revision rate of 1.5%.
 - A meta-analysis of lumbar endoscopic spinal surgery cases reported that transforaminal endoscopic lumbar surgery had approximately 3 times more complications (9.1%) than interlaminar endoscopic lumbar surgery (3.4%)

Takeaway

Endoscopic spinal surgery does not yield good results for all spinal diseases, and it is important to select appropriate indications to obtain achievable surgical results. Most literature published is retrospective (level 3 evidence), and randomized controlled trials (level 1 evidence) are lacking. Higher-quality evidence is needed for further understanding of the benefits and risks of an endoscopic approach to spine surgery. The overall incidence of clinically symptomatic complications with all types of endoscopic spine surgery is below 10%. Most complications were minor, and life-threatening complications, such as thromboembolism, sepsis, severe bleeding, and pulmonary complications, are less frequent than with open surgery.

[Initial learning curve after switching to uniportal endoscopic discectomy for lumbar disc herniations.](#) *Eur Spine J.* 2023;32(8):2694-2699. doi:10.1007/s00586-023-07583-x

- Median operative time decreased approximately 50% for the first 50 patients, then plateaued for both approaches (mean: 65 min)
- No difference in reoperation rate observed during the learning curve
- As the senior author increased their experience, they noted the duration of post-op narcotics use and the overall need for narcotics decreased and, ultimately, was eliminated
- No differences were observed between groups in other metrics

Takeaway

An initial learning curve was identified as 50 patients measured by decreased operative time, while reoperation rates remained similar without the need for hospital transfer or conversion to an open procedure in an ambulatory setting. Endoscopic spine surgery can be performed safely during the initial learning curve as long as the surgeon does not sacrifice safety for speed.

References

1. Lewandrowski KU, Telfeian AE, Hellinger S, et al. Difficulties, challenges, and the learning curve of avoiding complications in lumbar endoscopic spine surgery. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2021;15(suppl 3):S21-S37. doi:10.14444/8161
2. Ransom NA, Gollogly S, Lewandrowski KU, Yeung A. Navigating the learning curve of spinal endoscopy as an established traditionally trained spine surgeon. *J Spine Surg.* 2020;6(Suppl 1):S197-S207. doi:10.21037/jss.2019.10.03
3. Ju CI, Lee SM. Complications and management of endoscopic spinal surgery. *Neurospine.* 2023;20(1):56-77. doi:10.14245/ns.2346226.113